troduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
0000			

Fox derivatives, group cohomology, and higher property (T)

Piotr Mizerka

Institute of Mathematics of Polish Academy of Sciences

GABY 2022

Introduction ●○○○○ Fox calculus

Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology ${\scriptstyle \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc}$

Results

Introduction

Introduction ○●○○○	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
Outline			

Introduction ○●○○○	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
Outline			

• We focus on finitely presented groups

Introduction ○●○○○	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
Outline			

- We focus on finitely presented groups
- Goal: study cohomological conditions generalizing property (T)

Introduction ○●○○○	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
Outline			

- We focus on finitely presented groups
- Goal: study cohomological conditions generalizing property (T)
- Idea: interpretation in a group ring setting

Introduction ○●○○○	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
Outline			

- We focus on finitely presented groups
- Goal: study cohomological conditions generalizing property (T)
- Idea: interpretation in a group ring setting
- Fox calculus

Introduction ○●○○○	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
Outline			

- We focus on finitely presented groups
- Goal: study cohomological conditions generalizing property (T)
- Idea: interpretation in a group ring setting
- Fox calculus
- Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology

Introduction ○●○○○	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
Outline			

- We focus on finitely presented groups
- Goal: study cohomological conditions generalizing property (T)
- Idea: interpretation in a group ring setting
- Fox calculus
- Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology
- Results

Introduction 00●00	Fox calculus	Van 000	ishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results

Relations between main concepts

 Introduction
 Fox calculus
 Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology
 Results

 coood
 Relations between main concepts
 coocd

• Fox derivatives define group cohomology



 Introduction
 Fox calculus
 Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology
 Results

 COOD
 Relations
 between main
 concepts
 concepts

• Fox derivatives define group cohomology

• Kazhdan's property (T) is a cohomological property



Group ring	approach		
Introduction ○○○●○	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results

Group ring a	approach		
Introduction 000●0	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results

• We translate cohomological properties to group rings

Group ring a	approach		
Introduction ○○○●○	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results

- We translate cohomological properties to group rings
- The group ring: $\mathbb{R}G = \{\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g g | \lambda_g \in \mathbb{R}\}$

Group ring	approach		
Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results

- We translate cohomological properties to group rings
- The group ring: $\mathbb{R}G = \{\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g g | \lambda_g \in \mathbb{R}\}$
- Finite-dimensional reduction: consider balls in G

OOO+O OOOOO OOOOO OOOOOO OOOOOO	Group rir	a annroach		
Introduction Eav calculus Vaniching and reducibility of cohomology Results	Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results

- We translate cohomological properties to group rings
- The group ring: $\mathbb{R}G = \{\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g g | \lambda_g \in \mathbb{R}\}$
- Finite-dimensional reduction: consider balls in G
- Positivity in group rings (sums of squares)

00000	00000	00000	000000
Group ri	og annroach		

- We translate cohomological properties to group rings
- The group ring: $\mathbb{R}G = \{\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g g | \lambda_g \in \mathbb{R}\}$
- Finite-dimensional reduction: consider balls in G
- Positivity in group rings (sums of squares)
- We work with matrices over $\mathbb{R}G$

Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
00000			

Sums of squares (SOS)

Introduction 0000●	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
Sums of squ	ares (SOS)		

• *-involution in
$$\mathbb{R}G$$
: $\xi^* = \sum_{g \in G} \xi_g g^{-1}$

Introduction 0000●	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
Sums of squ	ares (SOS)		

- *-involution in $\mathbb{R}G$: $\xi^* = \sum_{g \in G} \xi_g g^{-1}$
- *-involution in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}G)$: $(M^*)_{i,j} = M^*_{j,i}$

Introduction 0000●	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
Sums of squ	ares (SOS)		

- *-involution in $\mathbb{R}G$: $\xi^* = \sum_{g \in G} \xi_g g^{-1}$
- *-involution in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}G)$: $(M^*)_{i,j} = M^*_{j,i}$

Introduction ○○○○●	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
Sums of squ	ares (SOS)		

- *-involution in $\mathbb{R}G$: $\xi^* = \sum_{g \in G} \xi_g g^{-1}$
- *-involution in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}G)$: $(M^*)_{i,j} = M^*_{j,i}$

Definition

 $M \in M_n(\mathbb{R}G)$ is an SOS if there exist M_1, \ldots, M_l such that

$$M=M_1^*M_1+\cdots+M_l^*M_l.$$

Introduction ○○○○●	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
Sums of squ	ares (SOS)		

- *-involution in $\mathbb{R}G$: $\xi^* = \sum_{g \in G} \xi_g g^{-1}$
- *-involution in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}G)$: $(M^*)_{i,j} = M^*_{j,i}$

Definition

 $M \in M_n(\mathbb{R}G)$ is an SOS if there exist M_1, \ldots, M_l such that

$$M = M_1^* M_1 + \cdots + M_l^* M_l.$$

• We decide SOS property with convex optimization

Introduction
00000

Fox calculus ●○○○○

Fox calculus

Definition of	f Fox derivat	ives	
Introduction	Fox calculus ○●○○○	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results

Definition of	f Fox derivat	ives	
Introduction 00000	Fox calculus ○●○○○	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results

•
$$G = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_n | r_1, \ldots, r_m \rangle$$

Definition of	f Fox derivat	ives	
Introduction 00000	Fox calculus o●ooo	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results

•
$$G = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_n | r_1, \ldots, r_m \rangle$$

Introduction	Fox calculus ○●○○○	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
Definition o	f Fox dorivati	ivos	

•
$$G = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_n | r_1, \ldots, r_m \rangle$$

Definition (Fox, '50s) The differentials $\frac{\partial}{\partial s_j} : \mathbb{R}F_n \to \mathbb{R}G, F_n = \langle s_1, \dots, s_n \rangle$ are defined by:

Introduction 00000	Fox calculus o●ooo	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
Definition o	f Fox derivat	ives	

•
$$G = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_n | r_1, \ldots, r_m \rangle$$

Definition (Fox, '50s) The differentials $\frac{\partial}{\partial s_j} : \mathbb{R}F_n \to \mathbb{R}G$, $F_n = \langle s_1, \dots, s_n \rangle$ are defined by: • $\frac{\partial s_i}{\partial s_j} = \delta_{i,j}$, $\frac{\partial s_j^{-1}}{\partial s_j} = -s_j^{-1}$, and $\frac{\partial s_i^{\pm 1}}{\partial s_j} = 0$ for $i \neq j$

Introduction 00000	Fox calculus ○●○○○	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
Definition of	f Fox derivati	ives	

•
$$G = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_n | r_1, \ldots, r_m \rangle$$

Definition (Fox, '50s) The differentials $\frac{\partial}{\partial s_j} : \mathbb{R}F_n \to \mathbb{R}G$, $F_n = \langle s_1, \dots, s_n \rangle$ are defined by: • $\frac{\partial s_i}{\partial s_j} = \delta_{i,j}$, $\frac{\partial s_j^{-1}}{\partial s_j} = -s_j^{-1}$, and $\frac{\partial s_i^{\pm 1}}{\partial s_j} = 0$ for $i \neq j$ • product rule: $\frac{\partial (uv)}{\partial s_j} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial s_j} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial s_j}$.

Introduction 00000	Fox calculus ○●○○○	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
Definition of	f Fox derivati	ives	

•
$$G = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_n | r_1, \ldots, r_m \rangle$$

Definition (Fox, '50s) The differentials $\frac{\partial}{\partial s_j} : \mathbb{R}F_n \to \mathbb{R}G$, $F_n = \langle s_1, \dots, s_n \rangle$ are defined by: • $\frac{\partial s_i}{\partial s_j} = \delta_{i,j}$, $\frac{\partial s_j^{-1}}{\partial s_j} = -s_j^{-1}$, and $\frac{\partial s_i^{\pm 1}}{\partial s_j} = 0$ for $i \neq j$ • product rule: $\frac{\partial (uv)}{\partial s_j} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial s_j} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial s_j}$.

Introduction 00000	Fox calculus ○●○○○	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
Definition of	f Fox derivati	ives	

•
$$G = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_n | r_1, \ldots, r_m \rangle$$

Definition (Fox, '50s)

The differentials $\frac{\partial}{\partial s_i} : \mathbb{R}F_n \to \mathbb{R}G$, $F_n = \langle s_1, \dots, s_n \rangle$ are defined by:

•
$$\frac{\partial s_i}{\partial s_j} = \delta_{i,j}$$
, $\frac{\partial s_j^{-1}}{\partial s_j} = -s_j^{-1}$, and $\frac{\partial s_i^{\pm 1}}{\partial s_j} = 0$ for $i \neq j$

• product rule:
$$\frac{\partial(uv)}{\partial s_j} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial s_j} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial s_j}$$

Definition (Fox, '50s)

The Fox derivatives are the elements OSI

$$\frac{\partial r_i}{\partial s_i} \in \mathbb{R}G.$$

Sample con	mputations		
Introduction	Fox calculus ००●००	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results

Introduction	Fox calculus ○○●○○	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
Sample com	putations		

•
$$F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle$$
:

Introduction		Fox calculus	5	Vanishing and reducibility of 00000	cohomology	Results
	-		-			

Sample computations

•
$$F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle$$
:

$$\frac{\partial(aba^{-1}b^{-1})}{\partial b} = \frac{\partial(ab)}{\partial b} + ab\frac{\partial(a^{-1}b^{-1})}{\partial b}$$
$$= \frac{\partial a}{\partial b} + a\frac{\partial b}{\partial b} + ab\left(\frac{\partial a^{-1}}{\partial b} + a^{-1}\frac{\partial b^{-1}}{\partial b}\right)$$
$$= a - aba^{-1}b^{-1}$$
Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results	
	00000			
-				

Sample computations

•
$$F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle$$
:

$$\frac{\partial(aba^{-1}b^{-1})}{\partial b} = \frac{\partial(ab)}{\partial b} + ab\frac{\partial(a^{-1}b^{-1})}{\partial b}$$
$$= \frac{\partial a}{\partial b} + a\frac{\partial b}{\partial b} + ab\left(\frac{\partial a^{-1}}{\partial b} + a^{-1}\frac{\partial b^{-1}}{\partial b}\right)$$
$$= a - aba^{-1}b^{-1}$$

•
$$\mathbb{Z}^2 = \langle a, b | aba^{-1}b^{-1} \rangle$$
:
$$\frac{\partial (aba^{-1}b^{-1})}{\partial b} = a - aba^{-1}b^{-1} = a - 1$$

Computing	cohomology		
Introduction	Fox calculus ○○○●○	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results

Computing	cohomology		
Introduction	Fox calculus 000●0	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results

•
$$G = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_n | r_1, \ldots, r_m \rangle$$

Introduction		Fox calculus		Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
		00000			
~					

•
$$G = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_n | r_1, \ldots, r_m \rangle$$

•
$$d_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - s_i \\ \vdots \\ 1 - s_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	
	00000		
_			

•
$$G = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_n | r_1, \ldots, r_m \rangle$$

•
$$d_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - s_i \\ \vdots \\ 1 - s_n \end{bmatrix}$$

• Jacobian: $d_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial s_j} \end{bmatrix}$

Introduction		Fox calculus		Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
		00000			
~					

•
$$G = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_n | r_1, \ldots, r_m \rangle$$

•
$$d_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - s_i \\ \vdots \\ 1 - s_n \end{bmatrix}$$

• Jacobian: $d_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial s_j} \end{bmatrix}$

• How to compute $H^*(G, V)$, V = G-module?

Introduction		Fox calculus		Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
		00000			
~					

•
$$G = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_n | r_1, \ldots, r_m \rangle$$

•
$$d_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - s_i \\ \vdots \\ 1 - s_n \end{bmatrix}$$

• Jacobian: $d_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial s_j} \end{bmatrix}$

• How to compute $H^*(G, V)$, V = G-module?

Introduction		Fox calculus		Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results	
		00000				
~		•				

•
$$G = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_n | r_1, \ldots, r_m \rangle$$

•
$$d_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - s_i \\ \vdots \\ 1 - s_n \end{bmatrix}$$

• Jacobian: $d_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial s_j} \end{bmatrix}$

• How to compute $H^*(G, V)$, V = G-module?

Theorem (Lyndon, '50s)

The cohomology $H^*(G, V)$ is the cohomology of the following complex:

$$0 \rightarrow V \xrightarrow{d_0} V^n \xrightarrow{d_1} V^m \rightarrow \cdots$$

Coomotric	interpretat	tion	000000
Geometric	: interpreta	tion	

Geometric	interpreta	tion	
Introduction	Fox calculus ○○○○●	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results

•
$$G = \langle a, b | a^2 b a^{-1} b \rangle$$

Geometric i	nterpretation		
Introduction	Fox calculus 0000●	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results

•
$$G = \langle a, b | a^2 b a^{-1} b \rangle$$

• Presentation complex – a 2-skeleton of K(G, 1):



Geometric	interpretatio	on	
Introduction	Fox calculus 0000●	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results

•
$$G = \langle a, b | a^2 b a^{-1} b \rangle$$

• Presentation complex – a 2-skeleton of K(G, 1):



Introduction

Fox calculus

Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology •••••• Results

Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology

 Introduction
 Fox calculus
 Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology

 00000
 00000
 0●000

Results

Vanishing of cohomology and property (T)







Definition

G has Kazhdan's property (T) if $H^1(G, \pi) = 0$ for every unitary representation π of *G* on a Hilbert space.



Definition

G has Kazhdan's property (T) if $H^1(G, \pi) = 0$ for every unitary representation π of *G* on a Hilbert space.

 (T) ⇔ coninuous affine isometric actions on real Hilbert spaces have fixed points



Definition

G has Kazhdan's property (T) if $H^1(G, \pi) = 0$ for every unitary representation π of *G* on a Hilbert space.

 (T) ⇔ coninuous affine isometric actions on real Hilbert spaces have fixed points



Definition

G has Kazhdan's property (T) if $H^1(G, \pi) = 0$ for every unitary representation π of *G* on a Hilbert space.

 (T) ⇔ coninuous affine isometric actions on real Hilbert spaces have fixed points

Theorem (Ozawa, 2014)

 $G = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_n | \cdots \rangle$ has property (T) iff there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $\Delta_0^2 - \lambda \Delta_0 = \text{SOS} \ (\Delta_0 = d_0^* d_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - s_i)^* (1 - s_i)).$

Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	
		00000	

Reducibility of cohomology

Poducibility	of cohomolo		
Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results

• Bader and Nowak, 2020

Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
Reducibility	of cohom	nlogy	

- Bader and Nowak, 2020
- concerns chain complexes of Hilbert spaces

Introduction 00000	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
Reducibility	of cohomolo	gy	

- Bader and Nowak, 2020
- concerns chain complexes of Hilbert spaces
- Suppose H^* is given by

$$\cdots \rightarrow C_{i-1} \xrightarrow{d_i} C_i \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} C_{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

Introduction 00000	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
Reducibility	of cohomolo	gy	

- Bader and Nowak, 2020
- concerns chain complexes of Hilbert spaces
- Suppose H^* is given by

$$\cdots \rightarrow C_{i-1} \xrightarrow{d_i} C_i \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} C_{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

Introduction 00000	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology ○○●○○	Results
Reducibili	ty of cohom		

- Bader and Nowak, 2020
- concerns chain complexes of Hilbert spaces
- Suppose *H*^{*} is given by

$$\cdots \to C_{i-1} \xrightarrow{d_i} C_i \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} C_{i+1} \to \cdots$$

Definition

The *i*th reduced cohomology is defined by $\overline{H}^i = \text{Ker } d_i / \overline{\text{Im } d_{i-1}}$. We say that the *i*th cohomology is reduced if H^i coincides with \overline{H}^i .

Introduction 00000	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology ○○○●○	Results

Reducibility vs vanishing

Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology ○○○●○	Results
Reducibility	vs vanishing		

 \bullet reducibility = reducibility for every unitary representation

00000		000000
Reducibility	vs vanishing	

- \bullet reducibility = reducibility for every unitary representation
- Obviously, vanishing implies reducibility

Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
Reducibility	vs vanishing		

- \bullet reducibility = reducibility for every unitary representation
- Obviously, vanishing implies reducibility
- The converse holds in dimension one

00000	00000	00000	000000
Reducibility	vs vanishing		

- \bullet reducibility = reducibility for every unitary representation
- Obviously, vanishing implies reducibility
- The converse holds in dimension one
- It does not hold in higher dimensions:

00000	00000	00000	000000
Reducibility	vs vanishing		

- \bullet reducibility = reducibility for every unitary representation
- Obviously, vanishing implies reducibility
- The converse holds in dimension one
- It does not hold in higher dimensions:

00000	00000	00000	000000					
Reducibility vs vanishing								

- $\bullet\ reducibility = reducibility$ for every unitary representation
- Obviously, vanishing implies reducibility
- The converse holds in dimension one
- It does not hold in higher dimensions:

Proposition (Dymara-Januszkiewicz)

For any $i \ge 2$ there exists a group G_i with reduced H^i and $H^i(G, \rho_0) \ne 0$ for some unitary representation ρ_0 .

Algebraic condition						
00000	00000	00000	000000			
Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results			

Algebraic	condition	0000	000000			
Algebraic condition						

• Suppose we compute cohomology of ${\it G}$ from

$$\cdots
ightarrow (\mathbb{R}G)^{k_{i-1}} \xrightarrow{d_{i-1}} (\mathbb{R}G)^{k_i} \xrightarrow{d_i} (\mathbb{R}G)^{k_{i+1}}
ightarrow \cdots$$

00000	00000	0000	000000				
Algebraic condition							

• Suppose we compute cohomology of ${\it G}$ from

$$\cdots
ightarrow (\mathbb{R}G)^{k_{i-1}} \xrightarrow{d_{i-1}} (\mathbb{R}G)^{k_i} \xrightarrow{d_i} (\mathbb{R}G)^{k_{i+1}}
ightarrow \cdots$$

•
$$\Delta_i = d_i^* d_i + d_{i-1} d_{i-1}^* \in M_{k_i}(\mathbb{R}G)$$
00000	00000	0000	000000
Algebraid	condition		

• Suppose we compute cohomology of ${\it G}$ from

$$\cdots
ightarrow (\mathbb{R}G)^{k_{i-1}} \xrightarrow{d_{i-1}} (\mathbb{R}G)^{k_i} \xrightarrow{d_i} (\mathbb{R}G)^{k_{i+1}}
ightarrow \cdots$$

•
$$\Delta_i = d_i^* d_i + d_{i-1} d_{i-1}^* \in M_{k_i}(\mathbb{R}G)$$

00000	00000	0000	000000
Algebraid	condition		

• Suppose we compute cohomology of G from

$$\cdots
ightarrow (\mathbb{R}G)^{k_{i-1}} \xrightarrow{d_{i-1}} (\mathbb{R}G)^{k_i} \xrightarrow{d_i} (\mathbb{R}G)^{k_{i+1}}
ightarrow \cdots$$

•
$$\Delta_i = d_i^* d_i + d_{i-1} d_{i-1}^* \in M_{k_i}(\mathbb{R}G)$$

Theorem (Bader and Nowak, 2020) TFAE for G and $i \ge 1$:

00000	00000	0000	000000
Algebraid	condition		

• Suppose we compute cohomology of G from

$$\cdots
ightarrow (\mathbb{R}G)^{k_{i-1}} \xrightarrow{d_{i-1}} (\mathbb{R}G)^{k_i} \xrightarrow{d_i} (\mathbb{R}G)^{k_{i+1}}
ightarrow \cdots$$

•
$$\Delta_i = d_i^* d_i + d_{i-1} d_{i-1}^* \in M_{k_i}(\mathbb{R}G)$$

Theorem (Bader and Nowak, 2020) TFAE for G and $i \ge 1$: • H^i vanish and H^{i+1} are reduced.

Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology ○○○○●	Results
Algebraic co	ondition		

• Suppose we compute cohomology of G from

$$\cdots
ightarrow (\mathbb{R}G)^{k_{i-1}} \xrightarrow{d_{i-1}} (\mathbb{R}G)^{k_i} \xrightarrow{d_i} (\mathbb{R}G)^{k_{i+1}}
ightarrow \cdots$$

•
$$\Delta_i = d_i^* d_i + d_{i-1} d_{i-1}^* \in M_{k_i}(\mathbb{R}G)$$

Theorem (Bader and Nowak, 2020) *TFAE for G and i* \geq 1: • *Hⁱ* vanish and *Hⁱ⁺¹* are reduced. • $\Delta_i - \lambda I =$ SOS for some $\lambda > 0$.

Results

(joint work with M. Kaluba and P. Nowak)

 Introduction
 Fox calculus
 Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology

 00000
 00000
 00000

Results ○●○○○○

SDP problem for matrix SOS

Introduction 00000	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results ○●○○○○
SDP probler	n for matrix	SOS	

• When $M \in M_n(\mathbb{R}G)$ is an SOS?

SDP probler	n for matrix	SOS	
Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results ○●○○○○

• When $M \in M_n(\mathbb{R}G)$ is an SOS?

• $y = I_n \otimes x \in M_{mn,n}(\mathbb{R}G)$, x -column with half-basis for M

SDP probler	n for matrix	SOS	
Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results ○●○○○○

• When $M \in M_n(\mathbb{R}G)$ is an SOS?

• $y = I_n \otimes x \in M_{mn,n}(\mathbb{R}G)$, x -column with half-basis for M

	m for motily	SOS	
			00000
Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results

SDP problem for matrix SOS

- When $M \in M_n(\mathbb{R}G)$ is an SOS?
- $y = I_n \otimes x \in M_{mn,n}(\mathbb{R}G)$, x column with half-basis for M

Lemma

M = SOS iff there exists $P \succeq 0$ such that $M = y^* P y$.

	f	505	
00000	00000	00000	00000
Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results

SDP problem for matrix SUS

- When $M \in M_n(\mathbb{R}G)$ is an SOS?
- $y = I_n \otimes x \in M_{mn,n}(\mathbb{R}G)$, x -column with half-basis for M

Lemma

M = SOS iff there exists $P \succeq 0$ such that $M = y^* Py$.

• Convex optimization for $M = \Delta_1 - \lambda I$:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize:} & \lambda \\ \text{subject to:} & M_{i,j}(g) = \langle \delta_{i,j} \otimes \delta_g, P \rangle, \\ & P \succeq 0. \end{array}$

00000	00000	00000	000000
ntroduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results

Adding re	plations pres	erves SOS	
Adding re	elations pres	erves SOS	

•
$$G = \langle S | R \rangle$$

Adding relat	cions preservo	es SOS	
Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results 00●000

• $G = \langle S | R \rangle$

• $R' \subseteq R$

00000	00000	00000	000000
Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results

•
$$G = \langle S | R \rangle$$

•
$$R' \subseteq R$$

• Reminder:
$$\Delta_1 = d_1^* d_1 + d_0 d_0^*$$

00000	00000	00000	000000
Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results

•
$$G = \langle S | R \rangle$$

•
$$R' \subseteq R$$

• Reminder:
$$\Delta_1 = d_1^* d_1 + d_0 d_0^*$$

	•	505	
00000	00000	00000	000000
Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results

•
$$G = \langle S | R \rangle$$

•
$$R' \subseteq R$$

• Reminder:
$$\Delta_1 = d_1^* d_1 + d_0 d_0^*$$

Lemma

Suppose $\sum_{r \in R'} r^*r + d_0d_0^* - \lambda I$ is an SOS. Then $\Delta_1 - \lambda I$ is an SOS as well.

	I	202	
00000	00000	00000	000000
Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results

•
$$G = \langle S | R \rangle$$

•
$$R' \subseteq R$$

• Reminder:
$$\Delta_1 = d_1^* d_1 + d_0 d_0^*$$

Lemma

Suppose $\sum_{r \in R'} r^*r + d_0d_0^* - \lambda I$ is an SOS. Then $\Delta_1 - \lambda I$ is an SOS as well.

Proof.

Just add $\sum_{r \in R \setminus R'} r^* r$ to the expression $\sum_{r \in R'} r^* r + d_0 d_0^* - \lambda I$. \Box

Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results 000●00
Reducibility	of the secon	d cohomology for $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$)



$$\mathsf{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle \{E_{i,j}\} | \cdots, (E_{1,2}E_{2,1}^{-1}E_{1,2})^4 \rangle$$



$$\mathsf{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle \{E_{i,j}\} | \cdots, (E_{1,2}E_{2,1}^{-1}E_{1,2})^4 \rangle$$

• $R' := \{\cdots\}$



$$\mathsf{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle \{E_{i,j}\} | \cdots, (E_{1,2}E_{2,1}^{-1}E_{1,2})^4 \rangle$$

• $R' := \{\cdots\}$



$$SL_3(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle \{E_{i,j}\} | \cdots, (E_{1,2}E_{2,1}^{-1}E_{1,2})^4 \rangle$$

• $R' := \{\cdots\}$

Theorem (Kaluba, M., Nowak) For SL₃(\mathbb{Z}) the expression $\Delta_1 - \lambda I$ is an SOS for any $\lambda \leq 0.32$.



$$SL_3(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle \{E_{i,j}\} | \cdots, (E_{1,2}E_{2,1}^{-1}E_{1,2})^4 \rangle$$

• $R' := \{\cdots\}$

Theorem (Kaluba, M., Nowak) For SL₃(\mathbb{Z}) the expression $\Delta_1 - \lambda I$ is an SOS for any $\lambda \leq 0.32$.



$$SL_3(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle \{E_{i,j}\} | \cdots, (E_{1,2}E_{2,1}^{-1}E_{1,2})^4 \rangle$$

• $R' := \{\cdots\}$

Theorem (Kaluba, M., Nowak)

For $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ the expression $\Delta_1 - \lambda I$ is an SOS for any $\lambda \leq 0.32$.

Corollary

The first cohomology of $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ vanishes, and the second is reduced.

Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
			000000

Introduction 00000	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results 0000●0
	-	•	

•
$$G = (V, E)$$

Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results 0000●0

• Cheeger constant: $h(G) = \inf_{1 \le \#A \le \#V/2} \frac{\#E(A,V\setminus A)}{\#A}$

Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
			000000

• Cheeger constant:
$$h(G) = \inf_{1 \le \#A \le \#V/2} \frac{\#E(A,V\setminus A)}{\#A}$$

• Expander family:
$$|G_n| \to \infty$$
 s.t. $\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{h(G_n)}{\deg(G_n)} > 0$

Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
			000000

• Cheeger constant:
$$h(G) = \inf_{1 \le \#A \le \#V/2} \frac{\#E(A,V\setminus A)}{\#A}$$

• Expander family:
$$|G_n| \to \infty$$
 s.t. $\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{h(G_n)}{\deg(G_n)} > 0$

• (T) yields expanders:
$$G_n := G/N_n$$
, G has (T)

Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
			000000

• Cheeger constant:
$$h(G) = \inf_{1 \le \#A \le \#V/2} \frac{\#E(A,V\setminus A)}{\#A}$$

• Expander family:
$$|G_n| \to \infty$$
 s.t. $\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{h(G_n)}{\deg(G_n)} > 0$

• (T) yields expanders:
$$G_n := G/N_n$$
, G has (T)

• Expanders generalize to higher dimensions (Lubotzky)

Introduction	Fox calculus	Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology	Results
			000000

• Cheeger constant:
$$h(G) = \inf_{1 \le \#A \le \#V/2} \frac{\#E(A,V\setminus A)}{\#A}$$

• Expander family:
$$|G_n| \to \infty$$
 s.t. $\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{h(G_n)}{\deg(G_n)} > 0$

• (T) yields expanders:
$$G_n := G/N_n$$
, G has (T)

• Expanders generalize to higher dimensions (Lubotzky)

•
$$SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$$
: spectral gap \Rightarrow "CW-expanders"

Introduction

Fox calculus

Vanishing and reducibility of cohomology

Results

Thank you for attention!